| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 09/935,385 | GOLD ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Paresh Patel | 2829 |
| Il Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Paresh Patel</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Davis Burns</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 2 February 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | |
| Claims discussed: 11 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claim 11 was discussed to clearyfy the subject matter. Fig. 2 was discussed for element 21 to be replace with element 31. Applicant amended claim 11 and fig. 2 as per discusstion in supplemental response filed on 02/05/2004 | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview | | |
| did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | | |
| A | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |
| (Examiner/SPÈ Signature) (Applicant | 'Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – it appropriate) |